INTHE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

SYLVIA JOHNSON-NEWBERRY,) CASE NO. 1:21-CV-01903-DCN
Plaintiff,	
v.) JUDGE DONALD C. NUGENT
CLEVELAND PUBLIC LIBRARY,) REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING
) MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV.
Defendants.	P. 26(f) AND LR 16.3(b)(3)
1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. on	P. 26(f) and LR 16:3(b)(3), a meeting was held
November 23, 2021 and was atte	ended by:
Taurean J. Shattuckcounsel for	r plaintiff(s) <u>Sylvia Johnson-Newberry</u>
Steven Janik counsel for defen	ndant(s) Cleveland Public Library
2. The parties:	
have not been required to r	make initial disclosures.
•	make initial disclosures. scovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P.
•	scovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P.
have exchanged the pre-di 26(a)(1) and the Court's pri	scovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P.

The parties recommend the following track:

3.

	Expedited X Standard	
	Administrative Complex	
	Mass Tort	
4.	This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute	
Resol	ution ("ADR") mechanisms:	
	Early Neutral Evaluation	
	X Mediation	
	Arbitration	
	Summary Jury Trial	
	Summary Bench Trial	
	Case not suitable for ADR	
5.	The parties do/X do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States	
Magis	strate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636©.	
6.	Recommended Discovery Plan:	
(a) Da	garibe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent	
	escribe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent covery.	
	•	
	e parties intend to seek discovery regarding the allegations in Plaintiff's mplaint and Defendant's defenses thereto. The parties intend to issue written	
<u>dis</u>	discovery requests and take the depositions of key parties and witnesses. The parties	
<u>do</u>	not anticipate any discovery problems at this time.	

(b) The parties (indicate one):
agree that there will be no discovery of electronically
stored information; or
X will agree to a method for conducting discovery of
electronically stored information; or
have agreed to follow the default standard for discovery of
electronically stored information (Appendix K to Northern
District Ohio Local Rules).
(c) Discovery cut-off date: May 27, 2022
7. Recommended dispositive motion date: <u>July 15, 2022</u>
8. Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional Parties <u>January 6, 2022.</u>
9. Recommended date for a Status Hearing: <u>August 19, 2022</u>

10. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

None at this time

Attorney for Plaintiff(s) /s/ Taurean J. Shattuck

Attorney for Defendant(s) /s/ Steven Jankik